



Julian Castro Gutierrez Mechanical Engineering

INTRODUCTION

As coal-fired power plants are phased out in Alberta by 2030, conventional natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) power plants will become a significant source of electricity and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions[1]. An alternative to NGCC is the semi-closed oxycombustion combined cycle (SCOC-CC), which replaces air with O_2 and CO_2 in the combustion chamber in order to produce pure CO₂ for carbon capture and storage (CCS), thereby reducing the GHG intensity of the Alberta grid. The cost of producing O_2

from air is prohibitive, but interest in hydrogen fuel cell vehicles could allow for a low cost supply of oxygen via electrolysis. Alberta has large storage potential in its deep saline aquifers, Fig. 1. Diagram of CCS making it ideal for CCS.



operations [2]

METHODS

Dr. Straatman's projection data [3] was the basis for the business-as-usual analyses. Also, literature and Team 3's fuel cell adoption rates laid the foundation for the alternative scenario. The choice of the SCOC-CC as the oxy-combustion plant, the removal of its air separation unit (ASU), the addition of CCS, and an operation time of 330 days per year were the model's chosen parameters. From studies made by IEAGHG [4], the SCOC-CC was shown to have economic and environmental advantages over NGCC.

For CCS, 10 horizontal injection wells would be put in place over 16 years to store CO₂ within the subsurface at Wabamun Lake, AB. By 2060 we aim to sequester upwards of 207 Mt of CO₂ [5].



Fig. 2. Comparison of Energy Flows in **SCOC-CC and NGCC Generation Plants** [6]

Oxy-Fuel Combustion: A 'Made in Alberta' Solution



Maureen Latter Mechanical Engineering



Evan Laurie Geophysics/ Natural Science

RESULTS

Fig. 3. Alberta Power Generation (TWh/yr)

38.5 TWh/yr in oxy-fuel combustion generation by 2060

Fig. 4. Alberta Power Capacity (MW)

5300 MW in oxy-fuel combustion capacity by 2060

Fig. 5. Emissions from Power **Generation (Mt** $CO_2/yr)$

2060 emissions will be reduced by 14 Mt CO₂/yr

Fig. 6. Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE) (CAN \$ 2017)

Oxy-fuel is economically competitive by 2018

Fig. 7. Map of **Proposed Location** of Carbon Capture and Storage [7]

Large saline aquifer West of Edmonton





REFERENCES

[1] Climate Leadership Plan. (2017). Retrieved from Alberta	
Government: https://www.alberta.ca/climate-leadership-plan.aspx	
[2] "Carbon Capture and Sequestration," 2017. [Online]. Available:	

https://sites.lafayette.edu/egrs352-fa12-ccs/how-it-works/.

[3] whatIf? Technologies Inc., 2014. Canadian Energy Systems Simulator (CanESS) - version 6, reference scenario. www.caness.ca

[4] (2015). Oxy-Combustion Turbine Power Plants. International Energy Agency Greenhouse Gas.

[5] Keith, R. D. (2009). Wabamun Area CO₂ Sequestration Project (WASP). University of Calgary: Insitute for Sustainable Energy, Environment and Economy.

"Fossil Fuel-Fired Power Generation," International Energy [6] Agency Greenhouse Gas, 2007

[7] Karsten Michael, S. B. (2009). Comprehensive Characterization of a Potential Site for CO₂ Geological Storage in Central Alberta, Canada. American Association of Petroleum Geologists.



Evan McLean Chemical Engineering



Trevor Lewis Natural Science Correspondence: melatter@ucalgary.ca



OXYFUEL COMBUSTION

Map area

Fig. 8. Oxygen Production (Mt O_2/yr)



Oxy-fuel pilot plants will be brought online in 2020, with full scale generation growing from 2031 onwards based on O_2 supply.

- ✤ As the oxygen needed for oxy-fuel begins to plateau, there will be enough oxygen to supply 4 SAGD operations by 2060.
- ✤ Single cycle (NGSC) capacity will have to increase substantially to maintain grid stability since renewables have a low capacity factor and oxyfuel plants will run at a high capacity factor.

Fig. 9. Carbon Intensity (Mt CO_2/MWh)



- ✤ Alberta's intensity carbon electricity grid decreases by 155 Mt CO_2 /yr in 2060.
- This proposed model will allow Alberta to meet its 2030 climate goals, and changes to behind the fence (BTF) (private) generation could allow for further greening of Alberta's grid.
- The economic feasibility of SCOC-CC generation is driven by the quickly rising price of carbon.
- The plant location was chosen near Wabamun Lake due to existing infrastructure, prior knowledge of the subsurface and the existence of large saline aquifers.

SCOC-CC power generation will reach peak values in 2060 with 100% of NGCC power generation being replaced. The carbon capture system associated with the oxy-fuel plant will be able to store over 207 Mt CO₂ over 29 years. Overall, there will be a reduction of 14 Mt CO_2 /year when compared with the business-as-usual scenario.

The SCOC-CC is very similar to NGCC generation, allowing the technology to be ready for pilot testing in the next five years, based on its Technology Readiness Level. Both cycles utilize similar sized turbines and thermodynamic cycles, which operate at similar temperatures. Oxy-fuel power generation using the SCOC-CC design, but removing the air separation unit, will operate at 53% efficiency, and can generate approximately 5400 TWh/year for the Alberta grid in 2060.



This poster produced as part of University of Calgary course Scie529 in Fall 2017. For info: dlayzell@ucalgary.ca





DISCUSSION

Since oxygen is supplied from electrolysis, an ASU is not required. This provides a major reduction in cost (29%) and energy (15%) for the proposed system over standard SCOC-CC. The oxygen supply is the limiting factor for the implementation of SCOC-CC.

The proposed plant does not need an additional CO₂ compressor station for CO₂ injection, since the CO₂ from the plant is compressed to 110 bar. This is sufficient for transportation through pipelines in its supercritical phase for short distances (about 5 km).

Alberta has the opportunity to invest in cleaning up its public grid emissions by almost two thirds, by replacing NGCC with SCOC-CC. The LCOE's of SCOC-CC and NGCC are very comparable by 2018, but SCOC-CC remains nearly constant whereas the LCOE of NGCC increases with time, mainly due to the carbon price.

CONCLUSIONS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was possible thanks to contributions and feedback from our instructors Dr. Layzell, Dr. Sit, and Dr. Straatman, as well as our expert advisor Eric Shewchuk. We would like to thank Team 3 for providing the values for the oxygen supply.